arXiv:1702.07033v2 [math.DG] 1 Mar 2017

LENGTH OF A SHORTEST CLOSED GEODESIC IN MANIFOLDS OF DIMENSION FOUR

NAN WU, ZHIFEI ZHU

Abstract. In this paper, we show that for any closed 4-dimensional simply-connected Riemannian manifold M with Ricci curvature $|Ric| \leq 3$, volume $\mathrm{vol}(M) > v > 0$, and diameter $\mathrm{diam}(M) \leq D$, the length of a shortest closed geodesic is bounded by a function $F(v, D)$ which only depends on v and D.

The proofs of our result is based on a recent theorem of diffeomorphism finiteness of the manifolds satisfying the above conditions proven by J. Cheeger and A. Naber.

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a closed 4-dimensional simply-connected Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature $|Ric| \leq 3$, volume $\mathrm{vol}(M) > v > 0$, and diameter $\mathrm{diam}(M) \leq D$. Then the length of a shortest closed geodesic on M is bounded by a function $F(v, D)$ which only depends on v and D. Furthermore, if the manifold is covered by N harmonic balls, then one can write down an explicit expression of F in terms of v, D and N.*

Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}(4, v, D)$ below the set of closed 4-dimensional simply-connected Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature $|Ric| \leq 3$, volume $\mathrm{vol}(M) > v > 0$, and diameter $\mathrm{diam}(M) \leq D$.

Remark 1.2. In the statement of the above Theorem 1.1, the harmonic ball is a certain type of contractible metric ball which will be defined in Section 2. In [CN15], J. Cheeger and A. Naber proved the existence of such constant $N(v, D)$ so that the manifold can be covered by $N(v, D)$ harmonic balls in the case of non-collapsing manifold of dimension 4 with bounded Ricci curvature and diameter.

However, this constant N is not explicit in terms of v and D. In fact, from the work of Cheeger and Naber, (see the proof of Theorem 8.6 in [CN15]), this constant N depends on the constant $\varepsilon(v, D)$ in the $\varepsilon-$regularity theorem [CN15, Theorem 2.11]. In other words, one can obtain an explicit expression of $N(v, D)$ provided that one can obtain an explicit expression for $\varepsilon(v, D)$ so that, up to rescaling, when the manifold M is $\varepsilon-$close to an Euclidean space in Gromov-Hausdorff distance, then the harmonic radius of M is bounded below by 1.

From the early work of M. Anderson [And89] and [And92], if the manifold is Einstein, then the above ε can be bounded by $C \cdot v^{-1/2}$, where C is a constant that only depends on dimension. This leads to an explicit bound for the length of the shortest closed geodesic in Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.3. In this work, we will show first the existence of upper bound for the length of the shortest geodesic, and then, the existence of an explicit upper bound in terms of

Date: March 3, 2017.

v, D and N. The second part is much harder since we are not assuming any uniform lower bound on the radius of the harmonic balls in the covering.

Remark 1.4. Note that if M is not simply-connected, then one can always bound the length of the shortest closed geodesic by $2 \cdot \mathrm{diam}(M)$. (See, for example, [Gro07].) Therefore, we only consider the case where M is simply-connected.

The question of the length of a shortest closed geodesic was initially asked in the paper of M. Gromov in [Gro83]. Gromov asked whether the length of a shortest periodic geodesic in a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M^n can be bounded by $c(n)\,\mathrm{vol}(M)^{1/n}$. Similar question can also be asked for the diameter D of the manifold. The fact that each closed Riemannian manifold has at least one closed geodesic was proved by L. Lusternik and A. Fet. (See, for example, [Kli12]).

At present, there is no curvature-free upper bound for the length of the shortest closed geodesic on a general Riemannian manifold. However, various results have been obtained under certain geometric assumptions. (See [Cro88, Mae94, NR02, Sab04, Rot06, LNR14] for the case of 2-spheres, [Tre85] for convex surfaces, [BTZ83] for spheres with $1/4-$pinched metric of positive curvature, and [Rot00, NR03] for compact Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature bounded from below. Also [CK03] would be a nice introduction to readers who are not familiar with this topic.) In this paper, we give an upper bound while assuming $M \in \mathcal{M}(4, v, D)$. Our theorem is the first result while assuming bounds on the Ricci curvature.

Let us briefly describe the idea of how to obtain an upper bound for the length of the shortest closed geodesic. Let $\Omega_p M$ be the space of loops with fixed base point $p \in M$. For the smallest integer m such that $\pi_{m+1}(M) \neq 0$, if one is able to construct a "small" non-contractible sphere of dimension m in $\Omega_p M$, in other words, a non-contractible map $S^m \to \Omega_p^L$, where $\Omega_p^L M$ is the subspace of $\Omega_p M$ whose points are loops of length $\leq L$, then by a standard Morse-type argument, there is a closed geodesic of length $\leq L$ occurred as a critical point of the length functional on ΛM. A. Nabutovsky and R. Rotman show in [NR13] that the obstruction to these "small" non-contractible spheres are some "short" closed geodesics on the manifold. (See [NR13, Corollary 5.4].)

More specifically, let us introduce the following definition of the depth of a loop (See [NR13, Definition 7.1&7.4].) and the width of a homotopy. We say that a smooth curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$ is a loop based at some point $p \in M$, if $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = p$.

Definition 1.5 (Depth of a loop)**.** Let M be a closed n-dimensional simply-connected Riemannian manifold with diameter D and $\gamma : S^1 \to M$ a loop in M based at p. We define the depth $S(\gamma)$ of γ to be the infimum of positive number S such that γ is contractible by a path homotopy through loops of length $\leq \mathrm{length}(\gamma) + S$. We define $S_p(M, L)$ to be $\sup_{\mathrm{length}(\gamma)\leq L} S(\gamma)$, where the supremum is taken over all loops γ of length $\leq L$ based at p. In other words, $S_p(M, L)$ is the infimum of S such that every loop γ of length $\leq L$ based at p can be contracted by a homotopy through loops of length $\leq \mathrm{length}(\gamma) + S$.

Definition 1.6 (Width of a homotopy)**.** Let M be a Riemannian manifold and $\gamma_i : [0, 1] \to M$, $i = 1, 2$, be two curves in M. Suppose γ_1 and γ_2 are homotopic and $H : [0, 1]\times[0, 1] \to M$ is a homotopy between γ_1 and γ_2. For every fixed $s \in [0, 1]$, the notation

$$H_s := H(s, \cdot) : [0, 1] \to M$$

is a curve in M which describes the trajectory of a point $H(s,0)$ during the homotopy. We define the width ω_H of the homotopy H to be

$$\omega_H = \max_{s\in[0,1]} \text{length of } H_s.$$

In [NR13], by taking $p = q = x$ in Theorem 7.3 and applying Corollary 5.4, Nabutovsky and Rotman proved that

Theorem 1.7. *Let M^n be a closed Riemannian manifold of diameter D and p be a point in M, and $S \geq 0$. Assume that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is no geodesic loop of length in $((2k-1)D, 2kD]$ based at p which is a local minimum of the length functional on $\Omega_p M$ of depth $> S$. Then for every positive integer m every map $f : S^m \to \Omega_p M$ is homotopic to a map $\tilde{f} : S^m \to \Omega_p^{L+o(1)} M$, where $L = ((4k+2)m + (2k-3))D + (2m-1)S$.*

In this case, the length of a shortest closed geodesic on M does not exceed $L = ((4k+2)m + (2k-3))D + (2m-1)S$.

An important observation in [NR13] is that the depth of γ is related to the width of an optimal homotopy contracting γ. In fact, if, for any curve γ, there exists a contraction of γ with width bounded by some function Ω, then

$$S_p(M, L) \leq \max\{2L, 2\Omega + 2D\}.$$

The proof of this inequality can be found in [NR03] or [NR13, Section 8]. This observation allows us to convert the problem of obtaining an upper bound for the length of a shortest geodesic in M to the problem of estimating the width of an optimal homotopy contracting any curve γ in M. And in the case of $M \in \mathcal{M}(4, v, D)$, for every curve $\gamma \subset M$, we will prove that one can always contract γ to a point through a homotopy with controlled width. Our construction is based on the work [CN15] of Cheeger and Naber, where they constructed a "bubble tree" decomposition for the manifolds in $\mathcal{M}(4, v, D)$. We are going to describe this decomposition in Section 2.

In conclusion, in order to obtain an upper bound for the length of the shortest geodesic, we are going to prove that

Theorem 1.8. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}(4, v, D)$. Then we have:*

A. *There exists an increasing function $\Omega(v, D)$ which only depends on v and D such that any closed curve $\gamma : S^1 \to M$ can be contracted to a point through a homotopy with width $\omega_H \leq \Omega(v, D)$.*
B. *If we further assume that there are no non-trivial closed geodesics on M with length bounded by $4D$ and M is covered by N harmonic balls, then one can write down a formula for Ω in terms of v, D and N.*

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.8 is the following. Given a closed contractible curve $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$, we would like to first contract γ through a family of curves $\{\gamma_j\}$ so that the width of the homotopy between each γ_j and γ_{j+1} is bounded in terms of D. If the number of the curves in the family $\{\gamma_j\}$ is bounded in terms of v and D, then we are done. However, in general, the number of the curves is not related to v and D. Therefore, we are going to construct a new homotopy through bounded number of the curves.

The observation is that by result of Cheeger and Naber, we may cover the manifold M by finitely many harmonic balls. We are going to construct a geodesic graph Σ, which is essentially the 1-skeleton of the nerve of this covering, so that we can find the approximations of the curves γ_j in this graph Σ with bounded length. Here the approximation of a curve γ_j in Σ means a homotopy between γ_j and a curve in Σ with controlled width. Now for

any homotopy that contracts the curve γ, we can find an approximation of this homotopy by looking at the approximation of the curves during this homotopy. The new "optimal" homotopy can be obtained by removing the curves with the same approximations in the graph. And then the total number of the curves is bounded in terms of the number of the curves in the geodesic graph Σ, which can be estimated by the number $N(v, D)$ of the balls in the covering of M.

The difficult part of the proof is to bound the length, or more precisely, the "simplicial length" (see Definition 3.1) of the approximation of the curve γ_j, because, in general, there is no lower bound for the radius of the harmonic balls in the covering of the manifold. In other words, if we are trying to approximate a curve with short geodesic segments, we may end up with an uncontrolled number of the segments in the approximation.

To solve this problem, our observation is that during the homotopy, if we decompose a curve γ into a wedge $\vee_i \alpha_i$ of some curves α_i with a fixed base point and let G_i be the contraction of each α_i, then the width contracting γ is bounded by $2 \cdot \max_i \omega_{G_i}$ (See Lemma 3.10). In this case, we only need to bound the length of the approximation of each α_i, instead of the entire curve γ. We are going to show in Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 that there is a desired decomposition of the curve γ so that we can control the length of the approximation of the curves in Σ.

1.1. **Structure of this paper.** In Section 2, we are going to introduce some definitions and results about non-collapsing manifolds with bounded diameter and Ricci curvature in [CN15]. We will be focusing on the case of dimension 4. We are also going to show some elementary results about metric and contractibility of certain metric balls which will be used in the rest of our proof.

In Section 3, we will first construct a geodesic graph and develop a certain type of the approximation of homotopies in this geodesic graph as we mentioned above. We will then show several lemmas about the upper bound of the length of the curves in the approximation. Some techniques we used in Lemma 3.2 to Lemma 3.6 are due to R. Rotman and her work [Rot00].

In the last section, we will prove our main results Theorem 1.8A, B, and Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.8A and B will be separated and will be based on different methods.

2. Harmonic radius and finite diffeomorphism type theorem in dimension 4

In this section we introduce some definitions and results about non-collapsing manifolds with bounded diameter and Ricci curvature in [CN15], which will be used to proof our main results Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.8. Note that their work is based on theory of manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below developed by J. Cheeger and T. Colding [Col97], [CC96], [CC97] and work of M. Anderson [And89], [And92] and Cheeger and Anderson [AC91].

We first recall the notion of the harmonic radius. (See [CN15, Definition 2.9] or [Pet06, Chapter 10.5].)

Definition 2.1. Let M^n be an $n-$dimensional Riemannian manifold and x, a point in M. We define the harmonic radius $r_h(x)$ to be the largest $r > 0$ such that there exists a map $\Phi : B_r(0^n) \to M$, where $0^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the origin, such that:

(1) Φ is a diffeomorphism onto its image with $\Phi(0^n) = x$.
(2) $\Delta_g x^l = 0$, $l = 1, \ldots, n$, where x^l are the coordinate functions and Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator.

(3) If $g_{ij} = \Phi^*(g)$ is the pullback metric on $B_r(0^n)$, then

$$||g_{ij} - \delta_{ij}||_{C^0, B_r(0^n)} + r||\partial_k g_{ij}||_{C^0, B_r(0^n)} \leq 10^{-3}.$$

The above map $\Phi : B_r(0^n) \to M$ is also called a *harmonic coordinate*. The condition (3) above tells us that Φ is a lipschitz map with the lipschitz constant bounded by 1.001. Therefore, we are able to estimate the "contractibility radius" at x in terms of the harmonic radius by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold and $x \in M$. Suppose $r_h(x) > 0$ is the harmonic radius at x in Definition 2.1. Let $R(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}} \cdot r_h(x)$. Then the metric ball $B_{R(x)}(x)$ is contractible in M.*

Furthermore, for any closed curve $\gamma : [0,1] \to B_{R(x)}(x)$, there exists a contraction $H : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to M$ such that $H(\cdot, 0) = \gamma$, $H(\cdot, 1) = x$ and the width of the homotopy $\omega_H \leq 2R(x)$.

Proof. Let $\Phi : B_{r_h(x)}(0^n) \to M$ be the harmonic coordinate at $x \in M$ such that $x = \Phi(0^n)$. Let $p \in \partial\Phi(\overline{B_{r_h(x)/2}(0^n)})$ be the point realizing the minimum distance between x and the boundary of the closure $\partial\Phi(\overline{B_{r_h(x)/2}(0^n)})$. We connect p and x by a minimizing geodesic γ. Note that γ must be contained in $\Phi(\overline{B_{r_h(x)/2}(0^n)})$.

By (3) in Definition 2.1, the length of γ satisfies

$$\text{length}(\gamma) \geq \frac{\text{length}(\Phi^{-1}(\gamma))}{\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}} \geq \frac{r_h(x)}{2\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}}.$$

Let $R(x) = \frac{r_h(x)}{2\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}}$. We show that the ball $B_{R(x)}(x)$ can be contracted to x within the ball $\Phi(\overline{B_{r_h(x)/2}(0^n)})$. Indeed, let $k : \overline{B_{r_h(x)/2}(0^n)} \times [0,1] \to \overline{B_{r_h(x)/2}(0^n)} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the contraction defined by $k(y,t) = yt$. Then $H = \Phi \circ k \circ (\Phi^{-1} \times id)$ is a homotopy contracting $\Phi(\overline{B_{r_h(x)/2}(0^n)})$ to $x \in M$. For any $y \in \overline{B_{r_h(x)/2}(0^n)}$, the length of the trajectory satisfies

$$\text{length}(\Phi \circ k(y, \cdot)) \leq \text{length}\left(\Phi \circ k\left(\frac{r_h(x)\cdot y}{2|y|}, \cdot\right)\right) \leq \frac{r_h(x)}{2\sqrt{1-10^{-3}}} \leq 2R(x).$$

Note that $\overline{B_{R(x)}(x)} \subset \Phi(\overline{B_{r_h(x)/2}(0^n)})$. We restrict the homotopy H to $B_{R(x)}(x)$ and the width $\omega_H \leq 2R(x)$. □

As described in Section 1, our proof of Theorem 1.8 depends on the constructive proof of the diffeomorphism finiteness theorem [CN15, Theorem 1.12] for manifolds of dimension 4 with diameter $\leq D$, volume $\geq v$ and bounded Ricci curvature. In Lemma 2.5, we will prove that for such manifold one can always find a finite cover with controlled number of open balls. Let us first state the "bubble tree" decomposition theorem [CN15, Theorem 8.64] in their paper.

Theorem 2.3 ([CN15], Theorem 8.64)**.** *Let M be a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold with $|Ric| \leq 3$, $\text{vol}(M) > v > 0$ and $\text{diam}(M) \leq D$. Then M admits a decomposition into bodies and necks*

$$M = \mathcal{B}^1 \cup \bigcup_{j_2=1}^{N_2} \mathcal{N}^2_{j_2} \cup \bigcup_{j_2=1}^{N_2} \mathcal{B}^2_{j_2} \cup \cdots \cup \bigcup_{j_k=1}^{N_k} \mathcal{N}^k_{j_k} \cup \bigcup_{j_k=1}^{N_k} \mathcal{B}^k_{j_k},$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) *If $x \in \mathcal{B}^j_i$, then $r_h(x) \geq r_0(v, D) \cdot \text{diam}(\mathcal{B}^j_i)$, where r_h is the harmonic radius and r_0 is a constant that only depends on v and D.*

(2) *Each* $\mathcal{N}_i^j$ *is diffeomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}\times S^3/\Gamma_i^j$ *for some* $\Gamma_i^j\subset O(4)$.
(3) $\mathcal{N}_i^j\cap\mathcal{B}_i^j$ *is diffeomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}\times S^3/\Gamma_i^j$.
(4) $\mathcal{N}_i^j\cap\mathcal{B}_{i'}^{j-1}$ *is either empty or diffeomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}\times S^3/\Gamma_i^j$.
(5) *Each* $N_i\le N(v,D)$ *and* $k\le k(v,D)$.

Remark 2.4. In the statement of the above theorem, the constants $r_0(v,D)$, $N(v,D)$ and $k(v,D)$ can be explicitly computed in terms of the constants in the "ε-regularity" theorem [CN15, Theorem2.11] for manifolds in $\mathcal{M}(4,v,D)$.

An important observation is that in the proof of the above [CN15, Theorem 8.64], for some scale functions $r_{\alpha(i,j)}$ and $r_{\beta(i,j)}$, the body $\mathcal{B}_i^{j+1}$ is obtained by removing some metric balls $\cup_k B_{r_{\alpha(i_k,j+1)}}(x_{i_k})$ from the balls $B_{2r_{\beta(i,j)}}(x_i)$ and the open set $\mathcal{N}_i^{j+1}$ is contained in the annulus

$$A_{r_{\beta(i,j)}/2,2r_{\alpha(i,j)}}\subseteq\mathcal{N}_i^{j+1}\subseteq A_{(1-\varepsilon)\cdot r_{\beta(i,j)}/2,(1+\varepsilon)\cdot 2r_{\alpha(i,j)}},$$

which, in particular, implies that the manifold M decomposes as

$$M=\mathcal{B}^1\cup(\cup_{i_2}B_{2r^2_{\beta(j_2,i_2)}}(x^2_{j_2}))\cup\cdots\cup(\cup_{i_k}B_{2r^k_{\beta(j_k,i_k)}}(x^k_{j_k}))=\mathcal{B}^1\cup(\cup\mathcal{B}^2_{j_2})\cup\cdots\cup(\cup\mathcal{B}^k_{j_k}).$$

where the total number of the bodies $\mathcal{B}_j^i$ is bounded by some $N(v,D)$. Together with Lemma 2.2, we are able to construct the following covering of M by finitely many contractible balls.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* M *be a 4-dimensional manifold that satisfies* $|Ric|\le 3$, $\mathrm{vol}(M)>v>0$ *and* $\mathrm{diam}(M)\le D$. *Then there exist a constant* $\tilde{N}=\tilde{N}(v,D)$ *such that* M *admits a covering by* $\tilde{N}$ *balls* $\{B_{r(x_j)}(x_j)\}_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}}$, *where* $r(x_j)=\frac{1}{8\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}}\cdot r_h(x_j)$ *and* $r_h(x_j)$ *is the harmonic radius at* x_j.

Proof. Consider the decomposition $M=\cup_{j=1}^N\mathcal{B}_j$. For each $\mathcal{B}_j$, let $p\in\mathcal{B}_j$ and $d_j=\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{B}_j)$. let $U_j=\{x_i\}\subset\mathcal{B}_j$ be a maximal subset such that $\{B_{R(x_i)/40}(x_i)\}$ are disjoint, $\mathcal{B}_j\subset\cup_i B_{R(x_i)/4}(x)$ and $\cup_i B_{R(x_i)/40}\subset B_{2d_j}(p)$, where $R(x_i)$ is the function defined in Lemma 2.2.

We claim that the number of the elements in U_j is bounded by a function $N_j(r_0)$, where $r_0=r_0(v,D)$ is the constant in Theorem 2.3. Indeed, let $\mathrm{vol}_{-1}B_r$ denote the volume of a metric ball of radius r in a 4-dimensional hyperbolic space of constant sectional curvature $\kappa=-1$. Let $\varepsilon=R(x_i)/4$. Then $\frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_{10d_j}(x_i))}{\mathrm{vol}_{-1}B_{10d_j}}\le\frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_{\varepsilon/10}(x_i))}{\mathrm{vol}_{-1}B_{\varepsilon/10}}$, by Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem (See [Pet06]). And hence,

$$\#|U_j|\le\frac{\sum\mathrm{vol}(B_{10d_j}(x_i))}{\mathrm{vol}(B_{2d_j}(p))}\le\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{-1}B_{10d_j}}{\mathrm{vol}_{-1}B_{\varepsilon/10}}:=N_j(d_j/r_h).$$

By Theorem 2.3, for any $x_i\in\mathcal{B}_j$, the ratio $d_j/r_h(x_i)<r_0(v,D)$. Therefore, we conclude that the number of the elements $\#|U_j|\le N_j(r_0)$.

Finally, because the number of the $\mathcal{B}_j$ in the decomposition is bounded by $N=N(v,D)$, we take $r(x_j)=R(x_j)/4=\frac{1}{8\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}}\cdot r_h(x_j)$, then the total number of the balls in the covering $\{B_{r(x_j)}(x_j)\}$ constructed above is bounded by $\sum_{j=1}^{N(v,D)}N_j(r_0(v,D))\le\tilde{N}(v,D)$, for some function $\tilde{N}(v,D)$.

□

3. Homotopy distance and simplicial approximation

In this section, we are going to first introduce a geodesic graph Σ on the manifold M. We will show that given a curve $\gamma \subset M$, one can find its "simplicial approximation" in the geodesic graph Σ with controlled "simplicial length". (See Definition 3.1.) The idea of this simplicial approximation is crucial in the prove of Theorem 1.8.

Note that the proof the existence part (Theorem 1.8A) and an explicit formula in terms of certain constants (Theorem 1.8B) will be based on different techniques. The estimations in Lemma 3.2 to Lemma 3.6 will be mainly used in the proof of Theorem 1.8A while Lemma 3.8 to Lemma 3.10 will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.8B. Several techniques we used in Lemma 3.2 to Lemma 3.6 is due to R. Rotman and her work [Rot00].

Through out the section, we assume that $M \in \mathcal{M}(4, v, D)$. The geodesic graph Σ is constructed as follows. Recall that in Lemma 2.5, the manifold M is covered by harmonic balls $\{B_{r(x_j)}(x_j)\}_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}}$, where $\tilde{N}$ is a constant which only depends on v and D, and $r(x) = R(x)/4 = C \cdot r_h(x)$, for some constant C and $r_h(x)$ is the harmonic radius at x. Note that by Lemma 2.2, the metric ball $B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)$ is contractible and the width of the contraction of any curve in $B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)$ is bounded by $2R(x_i) \leq 2D$.

If for some i, j, the intersection $B_{r(x_i)}(x_i) \cap B_{r(x_j)}(x_j) \neq \emptyset$ and $r(x_i) \geq r(x_j)$, then the union $B_{r(x_i)}(x_i) \cup B_{r(x_j)}(x_j) \subset B_{R(x_i)}(x_i)$. In this case, we connect x_i and x_j with a minimizing geodesic segment $\gamma \subset B_{R(x_i)}(x_i)$. We apply this construction to all pairs of balls in the covering with non-empty intersection. And then we will get a geodesic graph Σ whose vertices are the center of the balls x_i and edges are minimizing geodesic segments. Note that the number of the edges in Σ is bounded by $\tilde{N}^2$. In order to control the length of the curves in Σ, let us introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A piecewise geodesic $\alpha \subset \Sigma$ is a simplicial map $\alpha : [0,1]_\triangle \to \Sigma$, where $[0,1]_\triangle$ is a simplicial complex obtained by taking a partition $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_L < 1$ of the interval $[0,1]$ and $L \geq 0$ is an integer. We define the simplicial length $m(\alpha)$ of α to be the number of geodesic segments in α. In other words, $m(\alpha) = L + 1$. We call α a piecewise geodesic loop in Σ, if $\alpha(0) = \alpha(1)$.

Lemma 3.2 below indicates that for any closed curve γ in the manifold M, one can find a curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ in Σ which is homotopic to γ though a homotopy with bounded width. The curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ will be called the *simplicial approximation* of the curve γ.

Lemma 3.2. *For any closed curve $\gamma \subset M$, there exists a piecewise geodesic loop $\tilde{\gamma} \subset \Sigma$ such that γ is homotopic to $\tilde{\gamma}$ through a homotopy H with width $\omega_H \leq 6D$, where D is the diameter of M.*

Proof. Suppose $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ is a closed curve. Let $\{B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)\}$ be the covering of M in Lemma 2.5. We first choose a sufficiently fine subdivision $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1$ of $[0,1]$ which satisfies the following condition: If $\gamma(t_k) \in B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)$ and $\gamma(t_{k+1}) \in B_{r(x_j)}(x_j)$, then the intersection $B_{r(x_i)}(x_i) \cap B_{r(x_j)}(x_j)$ is nonempty, and $\gamma([t_k, t_{k+1}]) \subset B_{r(x_i)}(x_i) \cup B_{r(x_j)}(x_j)$.

In this case, we pick $\tilde{\gamma}$ to be a piecewise geodesic loop connecting the center x_i's of the balls $B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)$ which intersect γ. In other words, if $\gamma([t_k, t_{k+1}]) \subset B_{r(x_i)}(x_i) \cup B_{r(x_j)}(x_j)$, we pick the minimizing geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}_k$ which connects x_i and x_j to be an arc in $\tilde{\gamma}$. (See Figure 1.)

We show that γ is homotopic to $\tilde{\gamma}$ through a homotopy with width bounded by $6D$. The construction is similar to the proof of [Rot00, Lemma 3.3]. We describe the homotopy by describing the image of the curve γ under the homotopy. Let σ_k be a minimizing geodesic connecting $\gamma(t_k)$ and x_i.



FIGURE 1. Take a subdivision of γ and choose $\tilde{\gamma}_k$.



FIGURE 2. γ is homotopic to $\gamma \cup_k (\sigma_k \cup (-\sigma_k))$.



FIGURE 3. γ is homotopic to $\gamma \cup_k (\sigma_k \cup \tilde{\gamma}_k \cup (-\tilde{\gamma}_k) \cup (-\sigma_k))$.



FIGURE 4. Contracting the loop $(-\tilde{\gamma}_k) \cup (-\sigma_k) \cup \gamma([t_k, t_{k+1}]) \cup \sigma_{k+1}$ and we get $\tilde{\gamma}$.

First, γ is homotopic to $\gamma \cup_k (\sigma_k \cup (-\sigma_k))$ through a homotopy with width $\leq 2\,\text{length}(\sigma_k) \leq 2D$. (See Figure 2). We then homotope the curve to $\gamma \cup_k (\sigma_k \cup \tilde{\gamma}_k \cup (-\tilde{\gamma}_k) \cup (-\sigma_k))$ by inserting $\tilde{\gamma}_k \cup (-\tilde{\gamma}_k)$. The width of the homotopy is bounded by $2\,\text{length}(\tilde{\gamma}_k) \leq 2D$. (See Figure 3). Finally, we contract the loops $(-\tilde{\gamma}_k) \cup (-\sigma_k) \cup \gamma([t_k, t_{k+1}]) \cup \sigma_{k+1}$ to the point x_i within $B_{R(x_i)}(x_i)$, the width of the contractions, by Lemma 2.2 is bounded by $2R(x_i) \leq 2D$. (See Figure 4). Therefore, we conclude that γ is homotopic to $\tilde{\gamma}$ through a homotopy with width bounded by $6D$. □

Given a curve γ in M, in general, its approximation $\tilde{\gamma}$ can have arbitrarily large simplicial length. Therefore we apply Lemma 3.3 below to decompose the curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ into the wedge of curves with bounded simplicial length.

Lemma 3.3. *Let α be a piecewise geodesic loop in Σ. Then α is homotopic to another piecewise geodesic loop α' in Σ through a homotopy H with the following properties:*

(1) α' *can be represented by the union of* $m(\alpha')$ *curves* $\cup_{i=1}^{m(\alpha')}\alpha'_i$*, where each* α'_i *is a piecewise geodesic loop in* Σ *with a common base point and the simplicial length* $m(\alpha'_i) \leq 2\tilde{N}^2 + 1$.

(2) $\omega_H \leq 2\tilde{N}^2 D$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha : [0,1] \to \Sigma$ is a piecewise geodesic loop in Σ. We choose a partition $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{m(\alpha)}$ of $[0,1]$ such that for any i, $\alpha(t_i)$ is a vertex in Σ and the arc $\alpha_i = \alpha([t_i, t_{i+1}])$ is a geodesic segment, i.e, an edge in Σ. (See Figure 5.)



FIGURE 5. Subdivision of the curve α and pick σ_k.



FIGURE 6. α is homotopic to $\alpha'_1 \cup \alpha'_2 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha'_{m(\alpha)}$.

Now we pick any vertex $y \in \Sigma$ and we connect y with the vertices $\alpha(t_i)$ by piecewise geodesics σ_i. Note that this is possible since Σ is path connected. Furthermore, the simplicial length $m(\sigma_i)$ is bounded by the total number of the edges $\tilde{N}^2$ in Σ. Let $\alpha'_i = \sigma_i \cup \alpha_i \cup (-\sigma_{i+1})$. Then α is homotopic to $\alpha'_1 \cup \alpha'_2 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha'_{m(\alpha)}$. (See Figure 6.) The width of the homotopy is bounded by $2 \cdot \text{length}(\sigma_i)$, which is bounded by $2\tilde{N}^2 D$. □

Our next Lemma shows how to homotope the curves that are "close" to each other in the geodesic graph Σ.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* x_1, x_2, x_3 *be three vertices in* Σ*. Let* $\alpha_i : [0,1] \to \Sigma$*,* $i = 1, 2$*, be two piecewise geodesics loops in* Σ *with the following properties: (See Figure 7(1).)*

(1) *For some* $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$*,* $\alpha_1(t_1) = x_1$ *and* $\alpha_1(t_2) = x_2$.

(2) *For some* $0 \leq t'_1 < t'_2 < t'_3 \leq 1$*,* $\alpha_2(t'_1) = x_1$*,* $\alpha_2(t'_2) = x_3$ *and* $\alpha_2(t'_3) = x_2$.

(3) $B_{r(x_i)}(x_i) \cap B_{r(x_j)}(x_j) \neq \emptyset$ *for any pairs* $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

(4) $\alpha_1([0, t_1])$=$\alpha_2([0, t'_1])$ *and* $\alpha_1([t_2, 1]) = \alpha_2([t'_3, 1])$.

Then α_1 *is homotopic to* α_2 *through a homotopy* H *with width* $\omega_H \leq 6D$.

Proof. Let us denote $\tau_1 = \alpha_2([t'_1, t'_2])$ and $\tau_2 = \alpha_2([t'_2, t'_3])$. First, α_1 is homotopic to $\alpha_1|_{[0,t_1]} \cup \tau_1 \cup (-\tau_1) \cup \alpha_1|_{[t1,1]}$ through a homotopy with width bounded by $2 \cdot \text{length}(\tau_1) \leq 2D$. (See Figure 7(2).) We then homotope the curve to $\alpha_1|_{[0,t_1]} \cup \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \cup (-\tau_2) \cup (-\tau_1) \cup \alpha_1|_{[t1,1]}$ by a homotopy with width bounded by $2 \cdot \text{length}(\tau_2) \leq 2D$. (See Figure 8(3).)

The last step is to contract the geodesic triangle $(-\tau_2) \cup (-\tau_1) \cup \alpha_1([t_1, t_2])$ to a vertex. Without lost of generality, suppose $B_{r(x_3)}(x_3)$ is the ball with the largest radius in $\{B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. In this case, we will contract the triangle to the vertex x_3. By our construction of the radius $r(x_i)$, we have $\cup_{i=1}^{3} B_{r(x_i)}(x_i) \subset B_{R(x_3)}(x_3)$, where $R(x_i)$ is the





FIGURE 7. α_1 is homotopic to $\alpha_1|_{[0,t_1]} \cup \tau_1 \cup (-\tau_1) \cup \alpha_1|_{[t1,1]}$.





FIGURE 8. Contracting a geodesic triangle $(-\tau_2) \cup (-\tau_1) \cup \alpha_1([t_1, t_2])$

function in Lemma 2.2. Now by Lemma 2.2, any loop based at x_3 in $B_{R(x_3)}(x_3)$ can be contracted to the base point x_3 through a homotopy with width bounded by $2R(x_3) \leq 2D$. (See Figure 8(4).) Therefore, we see that the curve α_1 is homotopic to α_2 through a homotopy with width bounded by $6D$. □

Our next step is to show some general results about the simplicial approximation of a piecewise closed geodesic $\alpha \subset \Sigma$ in the nerve of the covering $\mathcal{N}(M)$, which will be used to obtain an upper bound in Theorem 1.8A. Recall that the nerve $\mathcal{N}(M)$ of the open covering $\{B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)\}$ of M is a simplicial complex where the vertices corresponds to the open sets $\{B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)\}$, and the $n-$simplicies corresponds to the non-empty intersections $\{\cap_{k=1}^{n} B_{r(x_{i_k})}(x_{i_k})\}$.

Lemma 3.5. *For any piecewise geodesic loop $\alpha \subset \Sigma$, there is a simplicial approximation S of α such that S is a simplicial 1-chain in the nerve $\mathcal{N}(M)$ and the number of 1-simplices in S is $m(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha : [0, 1] \to \Sigma$ be a piecewise geodesic loop. As before, we choose a partition $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{m(\alpha)}$ of $[0, 1]$ such that $\alpha(t_i)$ is a vertex in Σ and $\tau_i := \alpha([t_i, t_{i+1}])$ is an edge in Σ for any i.

Let $f : M \to \mathcal{N}(M)$ be the natural map obtained by using a partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\{B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)\}$. Because $\tau_i \subset B_{r(\alpha(t_i))}(\alpha(t_i)) \cup B_{r(\alpha(t_{i+1}))}(\alpha(t_{i+1}))$, the image $f(\tau_i)$ is contained in a simplex Δ and the 1-simplex $\overline{f(\alpha(t_i))f(\alpha(t_{i+1}))}$ is an edge of Δ. Because Δ is contractible, τ_i and $\overline{f(\alpha(t_i))f(\alpha(t_{i+1}))}$ are homotopic. We apply this simplicial approximation for all i, where $1 \leq i \leq m(\alpha)$. Then we will obtain a simplicial 1-chain S by taking the sum of all $\overline{f(\alpha(t_i))f(\alpha(t_{i+1}))}$. The number of 1-simplices in S is $m(\alpha)$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{N}(M)$ be the nerve of the covering $\{B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)\}$ of M. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{N}(M)$ be a simplicial complex with m simplices and $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{S}$ a closed simplicial curve in $\mathcal{S}$. Suppose Γ is contractible through a simplicial homotopy H in $\mathcal{S}$ and the number of the 1-simplex in Γ is l, counting with multiplicity, then there is an increasing function $F(m, l)$ such that the image of the simplicial homotopy H consists of no more than $F(m, l)$ 2-simplices.*

Proof. The argument is essentially the same with the proof of [Rot00, Lemma 3.5(b)]. We include the proof for the sake of completeness. For every positive integer m and l, there

are only finitely many simplicial complexes S with no more than m simplices in $\mathcal{N}(M)$ and finitely many contractible closed simplicial curves γ in S with no more than l many $1-$simplices. By taking the maximum over all pairs γ and S of the number of 2-simplices in the optimal homotopy contracting γ in S, we obtain an increasing function of m and l. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.8B will be based on a slightly different construction than the proof of Theorem 1.8A. Instead of using the geodesic graph Σ where the edges are geodesic arcs connecting the centers of balls with non-empty intersection, we will be using a complete graph in M. As above let $M \in \mathcal{M}(4, v, D)$ and $\{B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}}$ be the covering of M we constructed in Lemma 2.5. Let us construct a complete graph Γ in the following way. We define the vertices of Γ to be the centers x_i of the balls $B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)$ in the covering. For any two distinct vertices x_i, x_j in Γ, we define an edge in Γ by choosing a minimizing geodesic connecting x_i and x_j. Note that if there is more than one minimizing geodesic, we just pick one of them.

Remark 3.7. Note that $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$ is a subgraph. Let us extend Definition 3.1 to the geodesic graph Γ. Then the conclusion of Lemma 3.3 is still true if we replace Σ by Γ. (See Lemma 3.9.)

The key idea in the proof of Theorem 1.8B is that we would like to control the simplicial length of the approximation in Γ of some "good" curve in M, which is described in the following lemma:

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ be a curve connecting two points $x, y \in M$. Then there exists a piecewise geodesic $\alpha \subset \Gamma$ such that γ is homotopic to α through a homotopy with width bounded by $6D$. Furthermore, if γ is a minimizing geodesic, then the simplicial length of α does not exceed $4\tilde{N}^2$.*

Proof. Let us first choose a partition of the geodesic γ in the following way. We will take a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1$ of $[0,1]$ inductively. Let $\gamma(0) = \gamma(t_0) \in B_{r(x_0)}(x_0)$ for some vertex x_0 in Γ. If the length of $\gamma \geq r(x_0)$, we choose t_1 such that $\text{length}(\gamma([t_0, t_1])) = r(x_0)$. Suppose we have already chosen $t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_i$, and $\gamma(t_i) \in B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)$ for some vertex x_i. If $\text{length}(\gamma([t_i, 1])) \geq r(x_i)$, we choose t_{i+1} such that $\text{length}(\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}])) = r(x_i)$. (See Figure 9.) Otherwise, we just choose $t_{i+1} = 1$ and then $\gamma([t_i, 1]) \subset B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)$ since it is length minimizing. Note that it is possible that $\gamma(t_{i+1}) \in B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)$. In this case we just let $x_{i+1} = x_i$ be the same point.

For each arc $\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}])$, suppose that $\gamma(t_i) \in B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)$ and $\gamma(t_{i+1}) \in B_{r(x_{i+1})}(x_{i+1})$. Let σ_i (resp. σ_{i+1}) be a minimizing geodesic connecting x_i (resp. x_{i+1}) and $\gamma(t_i)$ (resp. $\gamma(t_{i+1})$) and let α_i denote the edge in Γ connecting x_i and x_{i+1}. If $x_i = x_{i+1}$, α_i is just a point curve.

We consider the four-gon $\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}]) \cup \sigma_{i+1} \cup \alpha_i \cup \sigma_i$, (or triangle when $x_i = x_{i+1}$). Assume that $r(x_i) \geq r(x_{i+1})$, then this four-gon is contained in $B_{3r(x_i)}(x_i) \subset B_{R(x_i)}(x_i)$, because $\text{length}(\alpha_i) = d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \text{length}(\sigma_i) + \text{length}(\sigma_{i+1}) + \text{length}(\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}])) \leq 3r(x_i)$.

We take the approximating curve α to be $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \ldots \alpha_n$. Since each four-gon $\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}]) \cup \sigma_{i+1} \cup \alpha_i \cup \sigma_i$ is contained in the ball $B_{R(x_i)}(x_i)$ (or $B_{R(x_{i+1})}(x_{i+1})$, if $r(x_{i+1}) \geq r(x_i)$), by the same argument with Lemma 3.2, γ is homotopic to α by a homotopy with width bounded by $6D$.

We now show that the simplicial length of α is bounded by $4\tilde{N}^2$, where $\tilde{N}$ is the number of the balls in the covering of M. We claim that each edge α_i, which is not a point curve, appears at most 4 times in the approximating curve α. In fact, suppose that there is an edge α_j connecting x_j and x_{j+1} appears more than 5 times in α.



FIGURE 9. Pick $\gamma(t_i)$ so that $\text{length}(\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}])) = r(x_i)$.



FIGURE 10. The distance $d(\gamma(t^*_{j_1}), \gamma(t^*_{j_5})) \leq 2r(x_{j+1})$.

Without any losts of generality, assume $r(x_j) \geq r(x_{j+1})$. By our construction, there are at least 5 segments $\gamma([t_{j_k}, t_{j_k+1}]), k = 1, 2, \ldots, 5$ contained $B_{R(x_j)}(x_j)$. Assume that $t_{j_1} < t_{j_1+1} < t_{j_2} < \cdots < t_{j_5+1}$, then the arc $\gamma([t_{j_1+1}, t_{j_5}])$ contains three segments $\{\gamma([t_{j_k}, t_{j_k+1}])\}_{k=2}^4$. Let $t^*_{j_k} \in \{t_{j_k}, t_{j_k+1}\}$ such that $\gamma(t^*_{j_k}) \in B_{r(x_{j+1})}(x_{j+1})$. (See Figure 10.) Then $\text{length}(\gamma([t^*_{j_1}, t^*_{j_5}])) \geq \text{length}(\gamma([t_{j_1+1}, t_{j_5}])) \geq 3r(x_{j+1})$. On the other hand, because $\gamma(t^*_{j_1}), \gamma(t^*_{j_5}) \in B_{r(x_{j+1})}(x_{j+1})$, the distance $d(\gamma(t^*_{j_1}), \gamma(t^*_{j_5})) \leq 2r(x_{j+1})$, which contradicts to the fact that γ is a minimizing geodesic. Finally, because the total number of the edges $\{\alpha_i\}$ in Γ is bounded by $\tilde{N}^2$, we obtain the result. □

Given a curve γ in M, let us denote by $\tilde{\gamma}$ its approximation in Γ in Lemma 3.8. Lemma 3.8 tells us that if the curve γ itself is a minimizing geodesic in M, then its approximation in $\tilde{\gamma}$ has a bounded simplicial length. The following Lemma 3.9 can be viewed as a generalization of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$ be a closed curve in M which is not a closed geodesic. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be its approximation in Γ. Suppose that the simplicial length $m(\tilde{\gamma})$ of $\tilde{\gamma}$ exceeds $9\tilde{N}^2$, then we have following:*

1. *For any point $p \in \gamma$, γ is homotopic to the wedge of curves $\gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2 \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_k$ based at p with width bounded by $2D$.*
2. *The length of each γ_i is less than or equal to $\text{length}(\gamma) - \frac{1}{8\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}} \cdot r_h/2$, where r_h is the harmonic radius of M.*
3. *The simplicial length of the approximation $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ of each γ_i is bounded by $9\tilde{N}^2$.*

Proof. Suppose that the simplicial length $m(\tilde{\gamma}) \geq 9\tilde{N}^2$. Let $\tilde{C} = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}}$ and $\gamma(0) = p$.

Let $0 = s_0 = s_{k+1} < s_1 \cdots < s_k = 1$ be a partition of $[0, 1]$ such that $\text{length}(\gamma([s_j, s_{j+1}]) \leq \tilde{C} \cdot r_h/4$. For each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, we connect $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(s_j)$ by a minimizing geodesic σ_j and denote by γ_j the loop $\sigma_j \cup \gamma([s_j, s_{j+1}]) \cup (-\sigma_{j+1})$. With the same argument as in Lemma 3.3, γ is homotopic to $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \cdots \cup \gamma_k$ through a homotopy with width bounded by $2 \max_i(\text{length}(\sigma_i)) \leq 2D$. This proves part (1).

We claim that the length of each loop γ_j is less than or equal to $\text{length}(\gamma) - \tilde{C} \cdot r_h/2$, where r_h is the harmonic radius of M.

Indeed, we first show that the length of the curve σ_j is bounded by either $\text{length}(\gamma([0,s_j]))-\tilde{C}\cdot r_h/2$ or $\text{length}(\gamma([s_j,1]))-\tilde{C}\cdot r_h/2$. Suppose not, then both $\gamma([0,s_j])$ and $\gamma([s_j,1])$ has length bounded by $\text{length}(\sigma_j)+\tilde{C}\cdot r_h/2 = d(\gamma(0),\gamma(s_j))+\tilde{C}\cdot r_h/2$. Because the simplicial length of the approximation of γ exceeds $9\tilde{N}^2$, at least one of the length of the approximation of $\gamma([0,s_j])$ and $\gamma([s_j,1])$ exceeds $4\tilde{N}^2$. However, this implies that there is an arc in γ appears more than 4 times in the approximation of γ. With the same argument in Lemma 3.10, one can shorten the curve by at least $r(x_i)$, where $r(x_i)\geq \tilde{C}\cdot r_h$ is the radius of the ball in the covering. But this is a contradiction, since in this case we obtained a curve connecting $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(s_j)$ with length $\leq d(\gamma(0),\gamma(s_j))-\tilde{C}\cdot r_h/2$.

Therefore, we have $\text{length}(\sigma_j)\leq \max\{\text{length}(\gamma[0,s_j]),\text{length}(\gamma[s_j,1])\}-\tilde{C}\cdot r_h/2$ and $\text{length}(\sigma_{j+1})\leq \max\{\text{length}(\gamma[0,s_{j+1}]),\text{length}(\gamma[s_{j+1},1])\}-\tilde{C}\cdot r_h/2$. Note that arcs σ_j are minimizing geodesics and $\text{length}(\gamma([s_j,s_{j+1}])\leq \tilde{C}\cdot r_h/4$. Therefore, we conclude that the length of $\gamma_j=\sigma_j\cup\gamma([s_j,s_{j+1}])\cup(-\sigma_{j+1})$ is bounded by $\text{length}(\gamma)-\tilde{C}\cdot r_h/2$.

The fact that the simplicial length of the approximation of γ_i is bounded by $9\tilde{N}^2$ follows from Lemma 3.10, because both σ_j, σ_{j+1} are minimizing geodesics and the length of $\gamma[s_j,s_{j+1}]$ is bounded by the minimum radii of the balls in the covering. □

Finally, we show that during the homotopy, one can break the curve into several small curves while the total width of the homotopy can be still controlled.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\gamma:[0,1]\to M$ be a closed curve and $\gamma(0)=\gamma(1)=p$. Suppose that $\gamma=\vee_{i=1}^n\alpha_i$, where each α_i is a closed curve with base point p. If each α_i can be contracted to a point in M through a homotopy with width bounded by W_i, then there exists a homotopy $H(s,t)$ such that $H(s,0)=\gamma$ and $H(s,1)=p$. The width ω_H of this homotopy is bounded by $2\cdot\max_i W_i$.*

Proof. Let us denote by $H_i(s,t)$ the homotopy contracting each α_i and let $p_i=H_i(s,1)$. By our assumption, the curves α_i have a common base point $\alpha_i(0)=p$. Let $\sigma_i=H_i(0,\cdot):[0,1]\to M$ be the trajectory of p in the homotopy H_i. We first homotope the curve $\gamma=\vee_{i=1}^n\alpha_i$ to $\cup_{i=1}^n\sigma_i\cup(-\sigma_i)$ through the curves $\cup_{i=1}^n\sigma_i([0,t])\cup H_i([0,1],t)\cup(-\sigma_i([0,t]))$, for $t\in[0,1]$. The width of this homotopy is bounded by $\max_i W_i$. Then we contract $\cup_{i=1}^n\sigma_i\cup(-\sigma_i)$ to the base point p. The total width of this homotopy is bounded by $2\cdot\max_i W_i$. □

4. Width of the homotopy and length of the shortest closed geodesic

In this section, we will prove our main results Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.8. We will prove Theorem 1.8A and B separately, and then we use the result of Theorem 1.8 to show Theorem 1.1.

Recall that Theorem 1.8A states that if $M\in\mathcal{M}(4,v,D)$, any closed curve $\gamma\subset M$ can be contracted to a point through a homotopy H with width $\omega_H\leq\Omega(v,D)$, where Ω is a function which only depends on volume v and diameter D.

Proof of Theorem 1.8A. Given a $4-$dimensional manifold M satisfies the above conditions, we first construct a finite covering $\{B_{r(x_i)}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}}$ of M as in Lemma 2.5 and a geodesic graph Σ from this covering as it is in the beginning of the Section 3.

Let $\gamma:[0,1]\to M$ be any closed curve. By Lemma 3.2, there is a piecewise geodesic loop $\alpha\subset\Sigma$ such that γ is homotopic to α through a homotopy H_1 with $\omega_{H_1}\leq 6D$.

Since the simplicial length $m(\alpha)$ of α maybe unbounded in terms of v and D, our second step is to apply Lemma 3.3 to break α into $m(\alpha)$ many small curves so that the simplicial

length of each small curve is no more than $2\tilde{N}^2+1$, where $\tilde{N}=\tilde{N}(v,D)$ is the number of the balls in the covering of M.

In fact, by Lemma 3.3, the curve α is homotopic to $\alpha'=\alpha_1\cup\cdots\cup\alpha_{m(\alpha)}$ through a homotopy H_2 such that $m(\alpha_i)\leq 2\tilde{N}^2+1$, for all i, and the width $\omega_{H_2}\leq 2\tilde{N}^2D$. Let $p\in\cap_i\alpha_i$ be a vertex in Σ. Because M is simply-connected, by Lemma 3.10, if each curve α_i can be contracted to a point $p_i\in M$ through a homotopy with width bounded by some function W, then the curve α' can be contracted to the vertex p through a homotopy with width bounded by $2W+D$.

In order to contract α_i, we apply Lemma 3.5 to find a simplicial approximation S_i of α_i in the nerve $\mathcal{N}(M)$. By Lemma 3.5 the 1-chain S_i is contractible in M, hence also contractible in $\mathcal{N}(M)$ and the number of the 1-simplices in S_i is $2\tilde{N}^2+1$.

We then apply Lemma 3.6 to control the width of the homotopy contracting S_i in $\mathcal{N}(M)$. In fact, because the number of the vertices in $\mathcal{N}(M)$ is $\tilde{N}$, which, by Lemma 2.5, is a constant that only depends on the volume bound v and diameter bound D of M, the number of the possible intersections of the balls is bounded by a function of $\tilde{N}$. Therefore, the function F in Lemma 3.6 is a function $F(\tilde{N})=F(v,D)$.

The simplicial homotopy contracting S_i can be realized through a sequence of closed simplicial curves $\{\sigma_i\}$ such that $\sigma_1=S_i$ and $\sigma_{i+1}-\sigma_i$ is the boundary of a 2-simplex. Therefore, there are at most $F(\tilde{N})$ many such curves in the sequence.

Now each curve σ_i can be realized by a piecewise geodesic loop β_i in Σ by connecting the corresponding vertices. We then get a sequence of piecewise geodesic loops $\{\beta_i\}$ in Σ such that any two consecutive curves β_i and β_{i+1} satisfies the condition in Lemma 3.4, because the corresponding σ_i and σ_{i+1} are only differed by the boundary of some $2-$simplex. By Lemma 3.4, β_i is homotopic to β_{i+1} through a homotopy with width bounded by $6D$. Hence we conclude that α_i can be contracted to a point through a homotopy with width bounded by $6F(\tilde{N})D$.

Finally, by connecting the homotopies H_1, H_2 and the homotopy contracting α', we obtain that our original curve γ can be contracted to a point in M through a homotopy with width bounded by a function $\Omega(v,D)$. □

If we assume that there is no closed geodesic on M of which the length is less than $4D$, we may improve the above construction to get an expression of $\Omega(v,D)$ in terms of v, D and the function $\tilde{N}(v,D)$ in Lemma 2.5. Note that in this case, if we apply certain curve shortening algorithm to a curve of length shorter than $4D$, we are able to contract the curve to a point. Let us first introduce the following notation.

Definition 4.1. Let $\alpha:[0,1]\to M$ be a closed contractible curve in a Riemannian manifold M, and $H(s,t):[0,1]\times[0,1]\to M$ a homotopy contracts α with $H(s,0)=\alpha(s)$ and $H(s,1)=point\in M$. For any $0\leq t_1\leq t_2\leq 1$ We define

$$\omega_H([t_1,t_2])=\sup_{s\in[0,1]}\text{length}(H(s,[t_1,t_2])).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.8B. Let $\gamma:[0,1]\to M$ be a closed curve in M. Assume that there is no closed geodesic on M of which the length is less than $4D$. We are going to show that the curve γ can be contracted to a point through a homotopy with width bounded by an explicit function of v, D and $\tilde{N}$.

Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the approximation of γ as it is in Lemma 3.8. If $m(\tilde{\gamma})\geq 9\tilde{N}^2$, where $\tilde{N}=\tilde{N}(v,D)$ is the number of balls in the covering of M in Lemma 2.5, we first apply Lemma 3.9 to homotope γ to a loop $\gamma_1\vee\cdots\vee\gamma_k$ through a homotopy with width bounded by $2D$, where k is a positive integer and each γ_i is a loop with length $\leq 4D$.

By Lemma 3.10, if each γ_i can be contracted to a point through a homotopy with width ω_i, then one can contract γ to a point through a homotopy with width bounded by $\max_i 2\omega_i$. In our construction below, all curves γ_i will be contracted in the same way. Therefore, without lost of generality, let us consider the contraction of the curve γ_1. The contraction of γ_1 will be constructed in two steps.

We first construct a family of curves $\{\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}\}$ parameterized by a finite tree $\mathcal{T}$ associate to the curve γ_1. We will then choose, from this family $\{\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}\}$, a bounded number of curves to construct a homotopy that contracts γ_1.

The family $\{\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}\}$ we are going to construct satisfies the following properties:

(1) The family of the curves $\{\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}\}$ is parameterized by a finite tree $\mathcal{T}$ in the following way. The root of the tree $\mathcal{T}$ is identified with the curve γ_1. Each vertex of $\mathcal{T}$ corresponds to a curve $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$. For the index $a_1a_2\dots a_n$, the curve $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$ is a child of the curve $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ in $\mathcal{T}$.

(2) For each curve $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$, there is an associated base point $p_{a_1\dots a_n}$ and a homotopy $H_{a_1\dots a_n}$ such that:
- If $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$ has only one child $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n,1}$, then $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$ is homotopic to $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n,1}$ through $H_{a_1\dots a_n}$ with width bounded by $16D$.
- If $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ has k children $\{\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1},i}\}_{i=1}^k$, where $k\geq 2$, then the curves in $\{\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n,i}\}_{i=1}^k$ have a common base point $p_{a_1\dots a_n,1}=\dots=p_{a_1\dots a_n,k}$ and $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ is homotopic to the wedge $\vee_{i=1}^k\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1},i}$ through $H_{a_1\dots a_n}$ with width bounded by $16D$.
- If $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$ has no children, then it is a point curve in M.

(3) For each curve $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$, let $\tilde{\gamma}_{a_1\dots a_n}$ be the approximation of this curve in Γ in Lemma 3.8. The length of the curve $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$ is bounded by $4D$ and the simplicial length of the curve $\tilde{\gamma}_{a_1\dots a_n}$ is bounded by $9\tilde{N}^2$.

Claim 4.2. *We claim that for a curve γ_1, if there exits a family of curves $\{\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}\}$ satisfies the above (1) − (3), then γ_1 can be contracted to a point through a homotopy with width bounded by $\Omega(v,D)=48D\cdot((2(\tilde{N}^2+1)^{9\tilde{N}^2}+1)$.*

Proof of the claim. Let h denote the height of the tree $\mathcal{T}$. We first show that if there is a family of the curves satisfies the above (1) and (2), then γ_1 can be contracted to a point through a homotopy with width bounded by $48Dh$. Then we will use (3) to show that one can always form a new tree $\mathcal{T}'$ from $\mathcal{T}$ such that the above (1) − (3) hold and the height of the tree $\mathcal{T}'$ is bounded by $2(\tilde{N}^2+1)^{9\tilde{N}^2}+1$.

The construction of the homotopy is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.10. If $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ has only one child $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1},1}$, let $\sigma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ be the trajectory of $p_{a_1\dots a_{n-1},1}$ under the homotopy $H_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$, then the curve $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ is homotopic to $\sigma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}\cup\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1},1}\cup-\sigma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ through a homotopy with width bounded by $2\cdot 16D$, since the length of $\sigma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ is bounded by $16D$. (See Figure 11.)

Similarly, if $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ is homotopic to $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1},1}\vee\dots\vee\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1},k}$, then $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ is homotpic to $\sigma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}\cup(\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1},1}\vee\dots\vee\gamma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1},k})\cup-\sigma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ through a homotopy with width bounded by $2\cdot 16D$, where $\sigma_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ is the trajectory of $p_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$ under $H_{a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$.

Therefore, γ_1 is homotopic to the curves $\sigma_1\cup(\vee_i\gamma_{1i})\cup(-\sigma_1)$, $\sigma_1\cup\{\cup_i(\sigma_{1i}\cup(\vee_j\gamma_{1ij})\cup(-\sigma_{1i}))\}\cup(-\sigma_1)$,..., and $\cup(\sigma_{a_1\dots a_n}\cup-\sigma_{a_1\dots a_n})$. (See Figure 12, 13)

The width of a homotopy between γ_1 and $\cup(\sigma_{a_1\dots a_n}\cup-\sigma_{a_1\dots a_n})$ is bounded by $32D\cdot h$, where h is the height of $\mathcal{T}$. We then contract $\cup(\sigma_{a_1\dots a_n}\cup-\sigma_{a_1\dots a_n})$ to p_1 by contracting every pair $\sigma_{a_1\dots a_n}\cup-\sigma_{a_1\dots a_n}$. The width of this homotopy is bounded by $16Dh$. Now by



FIGURE 11. Homotope $\gamma_{a_1\ldots a_{n-1},1}$ to $\sigma_{a_1\ldots a_{n-1}} \cup \gamma_{a_1\ldots a_{n-1},1} \cup -\sigma_{a_1\ldots a_{n-1}}$



FIGURE 12. γ_1 is homotopic to (1) $\sigma_1 \cup (\vee_i \gamma_{1i}) \cup (-\sigma_1)$, (2) $\sigma_1 \cup \{\cup_i(\sigma_{1i} \cup (\vee_j \gamma_{1ij}) \cup (-\sigma_{1i}))\} \cup (-\sigma_1)$

FIGURE 13. γ_1 is homotopic to (3) $\cup(\sigma_{a_1\ldots a_n} \cup -\sigma_{a_1\ldots a_n})$, (4) p_1

combining the above homotopies together, we obtain a homotopy that contracts γ_1 to p_1 with width bounded by $48Dh$.

Let us now construct a new tree such that the height of the tree is bounded by $2(\tilde{N}^2 + 1)^{9\tilde{N}^2} + 1$. The idea is that since, by our construction in Lemma 3.8, $\gamma_{a_1\ldots a_n}$ is homotopic to its approximation $\tilde{\gamma}_{a_1\ldots a_n}$, if two curves $\gamma_{a_1\ldots a_n}$, $\gamma_{b_1\ldots b_k}$, where $k > n$, in the family have the same approximation curve $\tilde{\gamma}_{a_1\ldots a_n} = \tilde{\gamma}_{b_1\ldots b_k}$, then we may homotope $\gamma_{a_1\ldots a_n}$ to $\gamma_{b_1\ldots b_k}$ through a homotopy with width bounded by $12D$. In this case, we can form a new tree by connecting the vertex $\gamma_{a_1\ldots a_n}$ with $\gamma_{b_1\ldots b_k}$, and delete the vertices in between. Note that the base point condition in (2) may not be satisfied in this situation. However, since the length of the curve $\gamma_{b_1\ldots b_k}$ is bounded by $4D$, we may homotope the image of $p_{a_1\ldots a_n}$ along the curve $\gamma_{b_1\ldots b_k}$ to $p_{b_1\ldots b_k}$. The width of this homotopy is bounded by $4D$. Then in total, the width is bounded by $12D + 4D = 16D$.

Note that in the geodesic graph Γ, the total number of the edges is bounded by $\tilde{N}^2$ and hence the number of the curves in Γ with simplicial length bounded by $9\tilde{N}^2$ is bounded by $N_0 := (\tilde{N}^2 + 1)^{9\tilde{N}^2}$. If the height of the tree $\mathcal{T}$ is greater than $2N_0 + 1$, then there

exits $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}, \gamma_{b_1\dots b_k}$ such that $k \geq n+2$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{a_1\dots a_n} = \tilde{\gamma}_{b_1\dots b_k}$. In this case, we replace the subtree with root $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$ by connecting $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$ with $\gamma_{b_1\dots b_k}$ followed with the subtree with root $\gamma_{b_1\dots b_k}$. Note that in this case the height of the new subtree with root $\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}$ is reduced by at least one. If the height of the tree is greater than $2N_0+1$, one can always apply the above algorithm to reduce the height of a subtree by at least one. Since $\mathcal{T}$ has only finitely many subtrees, after finitely many steps, the height of the tree is decreased by at least one. Therefore, we conclude that the height h can bounded by $2N_0+1$ and hence the width of the homotopy that contracts γ_1 is bounded by $48D \cdot (2N_0+1)$. □

In the rest of the proof, we are going to construct the family of the curves $\{\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}\}$ which is parameterized by a tree $\mathcal{T}$ that satisfies the above properties. The idea of this construction is to apply curve shortening to the curve γ_1 and we apply Lemma 3.9 to get a bouquet of circles when (3) is not satisfied. This family will be constructed inductively.

We apply Birkhoff curve shortening process for free loops (BPFL) to the curve $\gamma_1 : [0,1] \to M$. (See [Bir60], [Cro88] or [NR13] for detailed discussion about Birkhoff curve shortening process). Recall that during the BPFL, we first take a partition of $0 = s_0 = s_{n+1} < s_1 < s_2 \cdots < s_n = 1$ of $[0,1]$ such that for every j, $\gamma_1([s_j, s_{j+1}])$ is contained in a half of the injectivity radius at $\gamma_1(s_j)$. We join the consecutive midpoints of the arc $\gamma_1([s_j, s_{j+1}])$ by a unique minimizing geodesic and obtain a closed piecewise geodesic γ_1'. Then there is a length non-increasing homotopy from γ_1 to γ_1'. The width of this homotopy is bounded by the injectivity radius of M, which is certainly less than D. And then we apply the same process to γ_1'. Eventually, the curve γ_1 will either converge to a closed geodesic or a point in M. By our assumption on the length of the closed geodesic, the first case is impossible.

We denote by $H : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to M$ the contraction of γ_1 obtained by BPFL such that $H(s,0) = \gamma_1(s)$ and $H(s,1)$ is a point in M. For a sufficiently large n, we take a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 \cdots < t_n = 1$ of the second interval of the domain of H such that when $j > 1$, the width $\omega_H(t_j, t_{j+1}) \leq 16D$. We denote by γ_1^j the curve $H(\cdot, t_j)$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_1^j$ be the approximation of γ_1^j in Γ in Lemma 3.8.

When $j = 0$, by our assumption, the simplicial length $m(\tilde{\gamma}_1^0)$ is bounded by $9\tilde{N}^2$. Let j_1 be the first index such that $m(\tilde{\gamma}_1^{j_1}) > 9\tilde{N}^2$. In this case, we apply Lemma 3.9 to homotope $\gamma_1^{j_1}$ to the wedge of the curves $\gamma_{11}^{j_1} \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{1k}^{j_1}$ such that:

- The length of each $\gamma_{1i}^{j_1}$ is bounded by $\text{length}(\gamma_1^{j_1}) - \frac{1}{8\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}} \cdot r_h$, where r_h is the harmonic radium of M.
- The simplicial length of the approximation of each $\gamma_{1i}^{j_1}$ is bounded by $9\tilde{N}^2$.
- The width of this homotopy is bounded by $2D$.

Note that the last property further implies that the curve $\gamma_1^{j_1-1}$ is homotopic to $\gamma_{11}^{j_1} \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_{1k}^{j_1}$ with width bounded by $4D \leq 16D$. Now we pick the first $j_1 - 1$ curves $\gamma_{\underbrace{1\dots1}_{i}} = \gamma_1^i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, j_1 - 1$. And for $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$, set $\gamma_{1\dots1l} = \gamma_{1l}^{j_1}$. We then apply the same construction to each $\gamma_{1\dots1l}$. Eventually, we are going to obtain a family of curves $\{\gamma_{a_1\dots a_n}\}$ which is parameterized by a tree $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the above conditions.

It remains to show that constructed in this way, the hight of the tree $\mathcal{T}$ is finite. Indeed, because during the BPFL, one will end up at a point after finite time. And every time we apply Lemma 3.9 to the curve, the length is decreased by a definite amount $\frac{1}{8\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}} \cdot r_h$. In other words, Lemma 3.9 can be applied for at most $\text{length}(\gamma_1)/(\frac{1}{8\sqrt{1+2\cdot 10^{-3}}} \cdot r_h)$ times and hence the hight of $\mathcal{T}$ is finite. □

We may now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}(4, v, D)$. Suppose that there is no closed geodesic of length $\leq 4D$. Then Theorem 1.8 implies that every loop in M may be contracted via a homotopy with width bounded by $\Omega = \Omega(v, D)$. This further implies that the depth $S_p(M, 4D) \leq \max\{4D, 2\Omega(v, D) + 2D\}$.

Let $\Omega_p(M)$ denote the space of continuous maps $\{S^1 \to M\}$ based at $p \in M$ and $\Omega_p^E M$ the subspace where every curve is of length $\leq E$. Now by taking the integer $k = 2$ in Theorem 1.7, we conclude that for every positive integer m, every map $f : S^m \to \Omega_p M$ is homotopic to a map $\tilde{f} : S^m \to \Omega_p^F M$, where

$$F = F(m, v, D) = 10 \cdot m + D + (2m - 1) \cdot \max\{L, 2\Omega + 2D\}.$$

And in particular, the length of a shortest periodic geodesic does not exceed $F(m, v, D)$.

Finally, since our manifold is simply-connected, suppose it is $(l-1)-$connected but not $l-$connected for $l \geq 2$, the above argument shows that there is a periodic geodesic of length $\leq F(l, v, D)$. However, since we know that $H^4(M) \neq 0$, by Hurewicz theorem (see [Hat02, Theorem 4.32]), if M is $3-$connected then $\pi_4(M) \cong H^4(M) \neq 0$, and hence we can take $F(v, D) = F(4, v, D)$ in the above argument. □

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Alexander Nabutovsky and Regina Rotman for suggesting this problem and numerous helpful discussions. We thank Vitali Kapovitch for useful discussions about manifolds with bounded Ricci curvature. We thank Robert Haslhofer for useful discussions about the epsilon-regularity theorem. We also thank Aaron Naber for answering several questions about his work [CN15] with Jeff Cheeger.

References

[AC91] Michael T Anderson and Jeff Cheeger. Diffeomorphism finiteness for manifolds with ricci curvature and l^2-norm of curvature bounded. *Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA*, 1(3):231–252, 1991.

[And89] Michael T Anderson. Ricci curvature bounds and einstein metrics on compact manifolds. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 2(3):455–490, 1989.

[And92] Michael T Anderson. The l^2 structure of moduli spaces of einstein metrics on 4-manifolds. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 2(1):29–89, 1992.

[Bir60] George D Birknoff. Dynamical systems. In *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, 1960.

[BTZ83] W Ballmann, G Thorbergsson, and W Ziller. Existence of closed geodesics on positively curved manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom*, 18:221–252, 1983.

[CC96] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H Colding. Lower bounds on ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products. *Annals of mathematics*, 144(1):189–237, 1996.

[CC97] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H Colding. On the structure of spaces with ricci curvature bounded below. i. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 46(3):406–480, 1997.

[CK03] Christopher B Croke and Mikhail G Katz. Universal volume bounds in riemannian manifolds. *arXiv preprint math/0302248*, 2003.

[CN15] Jeff Cheeger and Aaron Naber. Regularity of Einstein manifolds and the codimension 4 conjecture. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 182(3):1093–1165, 2015.

[Col97] Tobias H Colding. Ricci curvature and volume convergence. *Annals of mathematics*, 145(3):477–501, 1997.

[Cro88] Christopher B Croke. Area and the length of the shortest closed geodesic. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 27(1):1–21, 1988.

[Gro83] Mikhail Gromov. Filling riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 18(1):1–147, 1983.

[Gro07] Mikhail Gromov. *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.

[Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[Kli12] Wilhelm Klingenberg. *Lectures on closed geodesics*, volume 230. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[LNR14] Yevgeny Liokumovich, Alexander Nabutovsky, and Regina Rotman. Lengths of three simple periodic geodesics on a riemannian 2-sphere. *Mathematische Annalen*, pages 1–25, 2014.

[Mae94] Masao Maeda. The length of a closed geodesic on a compact surface. *Kyushu Journal of Mathematics*, 48(1):9–18, 1994.

[NR02] Alexander Nabutovsky and Regina Rotman. The length of the shortest closed geodesic on a 2-dimensional sphere. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2002(23):1211–1222, 2002.

[NR03] Alexander Nabutovsky and Regina Rotman. Upper bounds on the length of a shortest closed geodesic and quantitative hurewicz theorem. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 5(3):203–244, 2003.

[NR13] Alexander Nabutovsky and Regina Rotman. Length of geodesics and quantitative morse theory on loop spaces. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 23(1):367–414, 2013.

[Pet06] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.

[Rot00] Regina Rotman. Upper bounds on the length of the shortest closed geodesic on simply connected manifolds. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 233(2):365–398, 2000.

[Rot06] Regina Rotman. The length of a shortest closed geodesic and the area of a 2-dimensional sphere. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 134(10):3041–3047, 2006.

[Sab04] Stéphane Sabourau. Filling radius and short closed geodesics of the 2-sphere. *Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France*, 132(1):105–136, 2004.

[Tre85] Andrejs Treibergs. Estimates of volume by the length of shortest closed geodesics on a convex hypersurface. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 80(3):481–488, 1985.